Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/675,420	COCHRAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joshua D. Schneider	2182
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Joshua D. Schneider</u> .	(3)	
(2) Randol W. Read, Reg. No. 43,876.	(4)	
Date of Interview:	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		·
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C. 102(b)		
Claims discussed: 8-14		
Prior art documents discussed: U.S. Patent 5,909,594 to Ross et al.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sure 	on. The examiner will provide a warate record of the substance of	the interview, since the interview
·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called to discuss the possibility of either ammending claim 8 or cancelling claims 8-14 to move this case to allowance as it appeared that another rejection in view of the Ross reference would be likely. Agreed to cancel the claims in question in order to move to allowance of the remaining claims.